

Introduction: Independent Oversight in a Non-Federal System

Author: Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Institution: Semmelweis University – Faculty of Medicine

Case Reference: EBF-AJBH-309-2025

Email: swardhan1@icloud.com

Abstract

This paper examines the **Hungarian Commissioner for Fundamental Rights (CFR)**, commonly referred to as the Ombudsman, as a pivotal legal institution dedicated to the protection of civil liberties within public administration. Unlike the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Hungary does not have a centralized investigative agency with both legal and civil authority. Instead, the Ombudsman functions as an independent constitutional authority established by ***Act CXI of 2011***, which ensures transparency and provides non-judicial oversight of rights violations. This discussion situates the role of the Ombudsman within Hungary’s administrative framework, with particular emphasis on higher education. Redacted Appendices A-F from the 2025 whistleblower case (**EBF-AJBH-309-2025**) are included to illustrate procedural escalation and institutional oversight. ***Semmelweis University’s Study and Examination Regulations (SZMSZ - HKR)*** establish transparent, predictable, and equitable study conditions. Specifically, **Article 5 (equality/non-discrimination)**, Article 11 (right to education and fair treatment), Article 13 (enforcement of student rights), Article 29 (clarity and transparency of course requirements/assessment), and Article 45 (rights following valid registration/tuition payment, and recognition of previously earned signatures) collectively require that attendance conditions and completion criteria be published, applied uniformly, and not retroactively amended by unwritten rules. *An “unwritten” requirement to revoke or re-earn a previously awarded signature is ultra vires*

Introduction: Independent Oversight in a Non-Federal System

(beyond authority) because it lacks a published legal basis and defeats legitimate expectations created by the SZMSZ.

Introduction: Independent Oversight in a Non-Federal System

Hungary's constitutional framework does not include a centralized federal law enforcement agency comparable to the FBI. Instead, it relies on a mosaic of ministries, courts, and statutory oversight bodies. At the highest level of this non-judicial oversight structure is the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights, an ombudsman empowered by Act CXI of 2011 to supervise, investigate, and document violations of constitutional rights by public institutions (Tóth, 2012).

Authority and Function: What Are the Roles of the Hungarian Ombudsman?

While the Hungarian Commissioner for Fundamental Human Rights may intervene or contest the legal claim presented by the researcher's case study, the case alleges that the Head of the Anatomy Department at Semmelweis University has violated Articles 5, 11, 13, 29, and 45 of the internal policy outlined in the Semmelweis Study Regulation and Examination Manual. The commissioner cannot deny the acknowledgment of the legal case if, in the future, the international regulators, including the WFME (World Federation of Medical Education), ECFMG (Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates), or even the Hungarian Ministry of Health, were to inquire. The CFR commissioner of fundamental rights is grounded in: Act CXI of 2011, Act XXV of 2023, Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education, and Act CL of 2016 on Administrative Procedure. The Ombudsman can request internal records, initiate investigative inquiries, and refer persistent violations to other constitutional bodies (Szente, 2015).

2.1 Soft Power with Real Influence

Although it is neither a court nor a law enforcement agency, the CFR operates similarly to a civil rights investigative body such as the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) within the U.S. Department of Education or the U.S. Office of Special Counsel for federal whistleblowers. It serves a comparable oversight function in Hungary—by examining

Introduction: Independent Oversight in a Non-Federal System

complaints and ensuring institutional transparency—despite lacking prosecutorial authority (Diamandouros, 2014).

3. Higher Education: Why It Matters in Academic Obstruction from Administrative

When internal university procedures are ambiguous, inconsistently enforced, or disregarded, students have limited avenues for recourse. In this context, the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights serves as the ultimate domestic oversight authority, particularly for international students, whose language barriers, visa requirements, and unequal status render them susceptible to academic hindrance (Tóth, 2012).

4. Why Acknowledgment by the Ombudsman Matters

Even without the power to enforce remedies, the CFR performs a gatekeeping function within the Hungarian legal framework. Its receipt of a case triggers institutional awareness and future liability. Public authorities are legally required to provide documents or written justification under the Act CL of 2016. Acknowledgment signals that the case is no longer a private dispute but a matter of public interest with formal recognition.

5. Ombudsman as Diplomatic Anchor: Leverage with Global Bodies

As of July 2025, acknowledgment of the author's whistleblower case by the Hungarian Ombudsman was cited in submissions to ECFMG, WFME, MAB, and the Equal Treatment Authority. These acknowledgments enhanced the legitimacy of the case internationally (Diamandouros, 2014).

6.A legal Case Study with evidence-based appendices: Simmelweis University Complaint (2025)

Introduction: Independent Oversight in a Non-Federal System

In this case, a dual U.S.-EU citizen and medical student enrolled at Semmelweis University was denied access to dissection laboratories despite maintaining valid registration and tuition status. Following unsuccessful internal escalation, the student submitted a legal petition to the Administrative Court and lodged a whistleblower complaint via the Ombudsman portal. This position is reaffirmed by ***Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education***, which emphasizes transparency and predictability of study conditions, as well as access to course components upon fulfillment of prerequisites and registration, and ***Act CL of 2016 on Administrative Procedure***, which stipulates requirements regarding legality, transparency, equal treatment, and predictability in administrative measures. Within the European framework, the ***EU Charter of Fundamental Rights (Articles 21 and 47)***, ***Directive 2005/36/EC*** concerning the recognition of professional qualifications, and the ***European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG 1.3, 1.4)*** mandate that universities publish explicit rules, conduct fair assessments, and implement uniform conditions. An informal practice involving the revocation of signatures is inconsistent with these norms.

Table of Contents: Concerning Appendices A through G, which include QR Codes for referencing detailed and comprehensive email threads, WhatsApp conversations (redacted and anonymized), specimen quality, selective enforcement of policy conduct, vague and evasive responses from the Vice Rector, and issues pertaining to age discrimination.

This page provides a structured overview of Appendices A-F submitted in support of the whistleblower complaint and legal filings concerning systemic educational obstruction at Semmelweis University. Each appendix is self-contained and begins with an explanatory paragraph; however, this summary serves as a guide for expedient understanding.

**REFERENCE: ADMINISTRATIVE OBSTRUCTION IN EU
EDUCATION: A LEGAL CASE SEMMELWEIS UNIVERSITY**

	Title	Focus Area
A	Suppression of Whistleblower Evidence	Evidence of withheld student testimony, deleted or unacknowledged complaints, and data concealment.
B	Overcrowding and Attendance Irregularities	Contradictory enforcement of attendance rules and improper denial of access due to structural overfill.
C	Violations by Dr. Székely - Internal Policy Breach	Violations of SZMSZ policies including FM/CV misinterpretation, lab denial, and unjustified restrictions.
D	Deficient Anatomical Specimens Used in Education	Photographic evidence of poor specimen quality used in graded assessments, violating educational

Table of Contents: Concerning Appendices A through G, which include QR Codes for referencing detailed and comprehensive email threads, WhatsApp conversations (redacted and anonymized), specimen quality, selective enforcement of policy conduct, vague and evasive responses from the Vice Rector, and issues pertaining to age discrimination.

		standards.
E	Selective Enforcement and Conduct Discrepancies	Institutional inconsistency in disciplinary enforcement, showing bias and procedural inequity.
F	Official Correspondence and Administrative Timeline	Emails, petitions, Ombudsman communications, and legal notices forming the procedural audit trail.

All appendices are duly cited by Hungarian educational law, SZMSZ policy, and Act XXV of 2023 (Whistleblower Protection). This index is included to promote transparency and facilitate review processes.

QR Code for Reference:

ResearchGate Preprint of Early-Stage Research.

Administrative Construction of the European Union

Education: A Legal Case Study – For updated appendices with citations of policy and educational laws of Hungary and the European Union – Reference Code

Table of Contents: Concerning Appendices A through G, which include QR Codes for referencing detailed and comprehensive email threads, WhatsApp conversations (redacted and anonymized), specimen quality, selective enforcement of policy conduct, vague and evasive responses from the Vice Rector, and issues pertaining to age discrimination.



Whistleblower Submission - Internal Communication Timeline

To:

Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights
Hungarian Ombudsman - Education and Equal Treatment Division
1387 Budapest, Pf. 40

From:

Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan
Medical Student - EM14, Faculty of Medicine
Semmelweis University
Hungarian Address: 1056 Budapest, Belgrád rakpart 4. FSZ 4
Email: siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu
Phone: +36 70 350 4077

Subject: Whistleblower Report Supplement - Internal Communications (June 26 - July 5, 2025)
Ombudsman Case Number: EBF-AJBH-309-2025

Dear Commissioner,

This document serves as a formal supplement to my protected whistleblower report, Case ID EBF-AJBH-309-2025, which concerns systemic academic obstruction and violation of equal treatment principles at Semmelweis University. Between June 26 and July 5, 2025, I sent a series of emails to university officials urging urgent resolution of the denial of access to anatomy practicals and lab-based learning, before submitting any public or legal disclosure.

These emails demonstrate my consistent, good-faith efforts to resolve the matter internally. They are being submitted to substantiate further that no premature escalation took place and to document the institutional silence and inaction that triggered my whistleblower filing.

Additionally, for public interest transparency and academic peer verification, I have submitted the complete legal documentation as a preprint article to SSRN. The paper is titled "Administrative Obstruction in EU Medical Education: A Legal Case Study from Semmelweis University," and is accessible here:

<https://ssrn.com/abstract=5346250>

You may also scan the QR code below to access the case study.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Whistleblower Submission - Internal Communication Timeline



July 29, 2025

Ombudsman Legal Update Notice

Date: July 03, 2025

To: Hungarian Commissioner for Fundamental Rights (Ombudsman)

Subject: Formal Update on Case No: EBF-AJBH-309-2025

Dear Commissioner,

I am writing to formally update your office that the Rector's Office, Anatomy Department, and all relevant university bodies at Semmelweis University have now been officially informed of my legal submissions. These include:

- My formal complaint submitted to your office **(Case No: EBF-AJBH-309-2025)**
- Notifications sent to the U.S. Embassy and European Commission on Higher Education
- A legal petition prepared and currently being submitted to the Budapest Administrative Court

I have archived these communications in both digital and physical form. I am providing this update to demonstrate that internal resolution was attempted in good faith and that legal escalation only followed repeated obstruction.

I trust your office will take this systemic issue seriously as it reflects a violation not only of Hungarian constitutional education rights but also of standards expected within the EU legal framework.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if further documents or testimony are required. I remain committed to complete transparency.

Best regards,

Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan
Full-Time Student, Faculty of Medicine
Semmelweis University


siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu

Whistleblower Protection Confirmation - July 5, 2025

This statement affirms that Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan, a medical student enrolled at Semmelweis University, has formally submitted a complaint to the Directorate-General for Equal Treatment under the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights. The complaint pertains to allegations of unequal treatment based on nationality. The submission is protected under:

- Act XXV of 2023 on Whistleblower Protection
- Act CXXV of 2003 on Equal Treatment and the Promotion of Equal Opportunities (Ebkty)
- European Union Directive 2019/1937 concerning the protection of individuals who report breaches of Union law

Before the formal submission, Mr. Wardhan engaged in good-faith awareness-raising activities through academic communication channels, disseminating factual information, official university responses, and lawful citations. No defamatory, slanderous, or threatening language was employed. All public communications adhered to legally protected reporting activities and are deemed to be whistleblower-protected speech.

Any form of retaliation, obstruction, or disciplinary measures undertaken against the whistleblower in response to this protected activity may constitute violations under Hungarian and European Union law.

This document is issued upon request for legal and personal documentation purposes.

Prepared with legal and structural advisory support.

Addendum: Statement of Unequal Treatment and Discrimination

Addendum: Statement of Unequal Treatment and Discrimination

To: Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights

Case ID: EBF-AJBH-309-2025

Prepared by: Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Date: July 11, 2025

Subject: Formal Notice of Unequal Treatment Based on Nationality and Student Status

I am submitting this addendum in response to the clarification provided in your correspondence dated July 11, 2025. After reviewing Section 8 of Act CXXV of 2003 on Equal Treatment and the Promotion of Equal Opportunities, I am formally stating that I believe I was subjected to discriminatory treatment by Semmelweis University on the grounds of:

- Nationality (non-Hungarian / foreign student)
- Educational status (FM/CV registration versus regular semester enrollment)

Basis for Discrimination Claim

According to the **Study and Examination Regulations (SZMSZ)**, including **Articles 5, 11, 13, and 55**, I was entitled to attend anatomy laboratory sessions after passing the first part of the exam and maintaining valid CV/FM registration.

However:

1. Dr. Andrea Székely denied me access to practical anatomy labs despite maintaining an active registration status.
2. I was instructed to revoke my previously granted signature, which is not required under university policy, and which placed me at academic disadvantage.
3. Other students in comparable FM or CV status were either granted access or not forced to revoke previously earned academic rights.
4. My tuition (€9,600) was paid for the Fall 2024 (F1) semester, **confirming valid student status during the FM**

Addendum: Statement of Unequal Treatment and Discrimination

period for the Spring 2025 semester.

Hardship Experienced- FM1 Macroscopic Anatomy and Embryology I

This treatment obstructed my access to essential dissection opportunities, which were crucial for maintaining proficiency in identifying muscles, arteries, veins, and other vital anatomical structures, as well as specimens necessary for successfully passing the second part of the Anatomy and Embryology II examination. **Consequently, my academic progress, financial stability, and legal standing as a student were directly affected.** I hereby formally assert that this constitutes unequal treatment under comparable circumstances, thereby contravening Act CXXV of 2003, Section 8.

Request:

I respectfully request that this addendum be added to my existing case (EBF-AJBH-309-2025) and that the Equal Treatment Directorate initiate appropriate investigative proceedings as permitted by law.

I remain available to provide further evidence or testimony in person.

Signed,
Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

A solid black rectangular box redacting the signature.

U.S. and EU Citizens
Budapest, Hungary

Addendum: Statement of Unequal Treatment and Discrimination

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Saturday, July 5, 2025 at 5:00:01 PM Central European Summer Time

Subject: Online lodge complaint without identification

Date: Saturday, July 5, 2025 at 4:51:33 PM Central European Summer Time

To: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN

You don't often get email from automatikusvalasz@ajbh.hu. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Client,

Your electronic complaint has been submitted through the homepage of the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights. We would like to draw your kind attention to that subsequently you will be able to contact us via the email address panasz@ajbh.hu.

Yours sincerely, Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights

Your personal data given in the course of submitting your complaint:

Name: Harsh Wardhan Siddhartha

Address: 1056 Budapest fifth district Belgrad rakpart 2. FSZ 4a

E-mail address: siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu

Phone number: + 36

703 504 077

Complaint send

date: 2025.07.05

16:51

Description of your complaint:

I, Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan, resident of Budapest 1056, Belgrad rakpart 2 FSZ 4a, submit this whistleblower report under Act XXV of 2023. I am a CV/FM- registered medical student at Semmelweis University. Despite valid student status, I have been repeatedly denied access to educational resources such as dissection labs and practicals.

Semmelweis University violated:
SZMSZ_HKR internal regulations

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Act CL of 2016 on Administrative Procedures

Act CCIV of 2011 on Higher Education

Equal treatment principles for international students

This is part of a larger pattern of obstruction, particularly targeting non- EU students. I have submitted a legal complaint to the Hungarian Ombudsman (Case: EBF- AJBH-309-2025) and filed a legal petition with the Hungarian Administrative Court. I request that your office initiate an inquiry and enforce legal compliance.

I request confidentiality and protection under the Whistleblower Protection Act.

Attached documents:

Ombudsman email follow up July 2 2025 copy.pdf,

1 of 2

Whistleblower_Disclosure_Semmelweis_Act_XXV_2023.docx,
IMG_5503.jpeg, Untitled.pdf, hungarian address back slide .pdf

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Thursday, July 3, 2025 at 2:56:23 AM Central European Summer Time

Subject: Urgent: Educational Right Violation - Anatomy Department Semmelweis University

Date: Saturday, June 28, 2025 at 7:44:25 PM Central European Summer Time

From: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN
[REDACTED]

Attachments: Semmelweis_Appeal_Documentation.pdf

Dear Ombudsman,

I am formally submitting an appeal and would like to request an immediate investigation into the ongoing academic and ethical violations at Semmelweis University, with a particular focus on the Department of Anatomy. The leadership within this department, especially Dr. Szekely, has violated my legal right to education, impaired my access to academic resources, and compromised the ethical standards to which I am entitled as a registered medical student. The matter concerns access to core curriculum requirements within the Department of Anatomy at Semmelweis University.

Background and Claims:

Throughout the last academic year, I was enrolled under "CV" and later "FM" status in the course Macroscopic Anatomy and Embryology II. Although both are officially recognized registration statuses under university policy, I was systematically denied access to anatomical practical dissection sessions held three times a week at the department—an essential and compulsory component of the course.

I received no opportunity to regain the practical dissection skills I had lost due to this exclusion.

This lack of access significantly impaired my performance during oral exams.

On February 7, 2025, I attempted to establish contact with Dr. Szekely to gain access to the anatomical and practical sessions, which are conducted three times a week within the

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Department of Anatomy at Semmelweis University. Dr. Szekely indicated that I would need to revoke a signature I previously earned on May 17, 2024. The prerequisite condition set by Dr. Szekely resulted in my inability to handle anatomical specimens on a cadaver. During the Macroscopic Anatomy and Embryology final examination, specifically the oral component conducted by Dr. Jonas Barna, I was unable to identify anatomical specimens on the cadaver. This deficiency was attributable to my inability to attend the weekly practical sessions at the Anatomy Department of Semmelweis University. Although the Anatomy Department professes to offer consultations, these six to eight sessions are insufficient to compensate for the loss of practical training throughout the academic year.

Consequently, I find myself unable to effectively review a year's worth of material relevant to the comprehensive final examination in Macroscopic Anatomy and Embryology II, which comprises both written and oral components addressing topics from two courses: Macroscopic Anatomy and Embryology I and Macroscopic Anatomy and Embryology II. Moreover, the oral examination necessitates the recognition of cadaveric anatomical structures—an ability I could not adequately prepare for due to the limited access to practical sessions mandated by the Department of Anatomy at Semmelweis University. The conditions imposed by Dr. Szekely contravene the principles of academic freedom and access guaranteed under Hungarian law, referenced explicitly in Article XI of the Hungarian Fundamental Law, Act CCIV of 2011, §§ 45 and 60.

Personal Exam Experience:

On June 26, 2025, I was unable to identify anatomical structures during the oral exam due to insufficient preparation time and the quality of the specimens. The serratus anterior muscle was removed and was not properly visible on the thoracic specimen presented by Dr. Jonas Bara. The specimen's condition made proper identification difficult, if not impossible.

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Dr. Bara's comment that I should "study more" neglected the considerable personal effort I had dedicated and the structural obstacles I encountered. I had no consistent access to cadaver laboratories outside designated examination periods. Consultations were limited, inadequately supervised, and frequently did not align with students' academic commitments. I am also concerned about how these conditions impact the retention rates and well-being of other students.

Legal and Ethical Grounds:

The Fundamental Law of Hungary, § XI, guarantees the right to education, fair access, and non-discrimination.

Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education mandates equal access to all core components of a subject and protects against administrative discrimination.

European Higher Education Area (EHEA) obligations under the Bologna Process affirm these same rights for EU citizens.

Requested Actions:

An immediate investigation into Semmelweis University's Anatomy Department policies and their compliance with Hungarian and EU education law.

Reinstatement of my academic rights to attend complete dissection labs during Fall 2025 (CV2) and Spring 2026 (FM2).

Removal of administrative preconditions that bar access to educational components after registration.

A formal review of Dr. Szekely's conduct and departmental policy for potential coercion or academic obstruction.

I am prepared to escalate this case to the European Commission and the U.S. Embassy if necessary. I remain committed to resolving this professionally and respectfully.

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Thank you for your attention and for protecting the rights of students.

Sincerely, Siddhartha Wardhan

[REDACTED]

Student [REDACTED]

Phone: [+36 703 504 077](tel:+36703504077)

Email: swardhan1@icloud.com Date: June 27, 2025

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

[REDACTED] egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu

To: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN <siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu>

Subject: Submission of a confirmation EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025

Dear Madam / Sir,

I would hereby like to inform you that **your electronic petition was received by the Office of the**

Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary.

We will forward your petition to our organisational unit responsible for analysing the petition or launching a potential inquiry.

I would like to call your attention to that those letters that are sent by e-mail without identifying full names and residential addresses will be deemed anonymous petitions in order to protect personal data.

If you request that your individual case be investigated into on its merits but you have not identified your data, please, **provide your permanent residential address and correspondence mailing address.**

Pursuant to the Act on the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights, the identity of the person who has filed the petition may only be revealed by the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights if the inquiry could not be conducted otherwise. If the person filing the petition requests it, the Commissioner for Fundamental

Rights may not reveal his/her identity. No one shall suffer any disadvantage for turning to the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights.

As long as your personal data need to be handled during our procedure, see the relevant rules [here](#).

We send notifications on the outcome of the investigations into the petitions to the persons affected. We

can only provide general information in e-mail as a response to messages that are deemed anonymous

according to the above. I would like to call your attention to that **there are special rules regulating the administrative authority**

procedure conducted by the Directorate-General for Equal Treatment, as well as the submission of

petitions on the subject of the violation of the principle of equal treatment (in other words,

discrimination). You can find out about the method of submitting applications for administrative

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

authority procedures, as well as such procedures on our homepage, [here](#).

No administrative authority procedure will be launched on the basis of petitions submitted on the

platform called “Online lodging of complaints with / without client gate identification”: we provide

general information on discrimination and the method of submitting petitions in response to such petitions.

Furthermore, I would like to inform you that pursuant to Act XXV of 2023 on complaints, disclosures in

public interest, and related rules on reporting abuses (the Whistleblower Protection Act), **anyone may**

make a public interest disclosure or whistleblower report via the secure electronic system

operated by the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights. A public interest disclosure calls attention

to a circumstance the remedying or discontinuation of which is in the interest of the community or the

whole society. Public interest disclosures may also contain proposals. **As whistleblower reports,**

information related to such actions or omissions, or other abuses that are unlawful or are assumed to be

unlawful may be reported to the government bodies obliged to establish separate whistleblowing reporting

systems. You can find out about the options of making public interest disclosures or whistleblower reports

and the details of the procedure [here](#).

Our office ensures inquiries into public interest disclosures or whistleblower reports **under the Whistleblower Protection Act exclusively in the case of** public interest **disclosures or** whistleblower

reports registered in the secure electronic system.

Yours sincerely,

Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights

Follow up -Legal Reminder to University Officials for
Grace period cut - July 4,
2025 - Thursday

From: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN

siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu To:

Egyenlő Bánásmód

egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu Date: Tue, Jul 1,

2025, 8:53 PM

Dear Ombudsman,

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

I am forwarding my final written notice to the officials of Semmelweis University regarding their continued failure to respond to my legal petition and denial of educational access.

As stated in my previous complaint (**Case No: EBF-AJBH-309-2025**), this reminder reiterates my rights under **SZMSZ_HKR** university regulations and **Hungarian Administrative Law (Act CL of 2016, Section 38)**. I am writing formally to let you know that **I haven't yet received a response**, despite multiple emails and our legal obligations.

I am preparing to go ahead with a formal court filing and will include your office in all subsequent communications.

Submission of a confirmation EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025

Best regards,

Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

U.S. Citizen, EU Citizen

Semmelweis University (EM 14 – Medical Student -||
medicine faculty || Semmelweis +36 70 350 4077

From: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN
<siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu>

Date: Monday, June 30, 2025 at 12:12 PM

To: Egyenlő Bánásmód <egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu>

Subject: Re: Submission of a confirmation EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025

Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for getting back to me.

I want to confirm my full details for this petition and request that my case be investigated on its merits.

Name: Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Address: Hungarian Residential Address - 1056 Budapest 05 - Belgrad Rakpart 2. FSZ 4a. Holder of a Hungarian address card -

I am a US citizen - US residential address- 960 Troopers Trl - Waycross, GA 31501

I am an EU citizen, born in Ireland, and hold Irish citizenship.

I also request that my identity be kept confidential, by applicable laws that protect petitioners and whistleblowers.

Best regards,
Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

FW: Rescheduling of Your Appointment at the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary EBF-AJBH-309/2025

From:

To:

Date:

AJBH Ügyfélszolgálat ugyfelszolgalat@ajbh.hu

Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu

Mon, Jul 21, 2025, 11:26 AM

You don't often get email from ugyfelszolgalat@ajbh.hu. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Sir!

Your new appointment is:

August 6, 2025 at 13:00

Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

Customer Service Department

Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary

From: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN <siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu>

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2025 8:51 PM

To: AJBH Ügyfélszolgálat <ugyfelszolgalat@ajbh.hu>

Subject: Re: Rescheduling of Your Appointment at the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary EBF-AJBH-309/2025

Dear Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights,

Thank you for your message regarding the appointment rescheduling for my case (**EBF-AJBH-309/2025**)

related to Semmelweis University. I acknowledge the proposed dates of:

• **August 4, 2025, at 13:00**

• **August 6, 2025 at 13:00**

1 / 4 I am willing to attend either of these sessions. However, I would also like to respectfully request the possibility

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

of appearing **in person, face-to-face, before either of those dates** if an earlier time slot becomes available.

I'm so sorry for the missed call. I did not recognize the number and was concerned it may have been a potential scam call. Please feel free to email me in advance of any future call attempts or use this message to confirm any rescheduling.

In preparation for our meeting, I have finalized all supporting documentation for my whistleblower complaint and educational rights grievance. These materials include the following appendices:

Appendix A – Suppression of Evidence by Administrators

Appendix B – Overcrowding Testimony and Inconsistencies

Appendix C – Chronological Legal Timeline and Email History

Appendix D – Examination Specimen Quality Violations

Appendix E – Unequal Enforcement of Conduct Policies

Appendix F – Official University Responses and Legal References

These documents collectively show institutional obstruction, regulatory violations, and procedural misconduct under:

Act XXV of 2023 – Whistleblower Protection

Act CL of 2016 – General Administrative Procedure

Act CCIV of 2011 – National Higher Education

Act CXXV of 2003 – Equal Treatment

Semmelweis University SZMSZ Regulations – Articles 5, 11, 13, 29, 45

Additionally, I have already mailed the full case packet to the **Hungarian Administrative Court**, which

includes **Appendix F**, containing the university's response to my formal legal appeal.

For your records, you may contact me at either of the following addresses:

- University Email: siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu
- Proton Mail (Confidential): studentrights34@proton.me

I am willing to deliver physical copies in person and remain available for early scheduling should the opportunity arise.

Thank you for your continued cooperation and for protecting the rights of students and whistleblowers.

Sincerely,

2 / 4 **Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan**

Neptun code: **AXEMG8**

Phone: **+36 703 504 077**

Email: siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu

Secure inbox: studentrights34@proton.me

From: AJBH Ügyfélszolgálat <ugyfelszolgalat@ajbh.hu>

Date: Friday, July 18, 2025 at 11:23 AM

To: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN <siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu>

Subject: Rescheduling of Your Appointment at the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary EBF-AJBH-309/2025

You don't often get email from ugyfelszolgalat@ajbh.hu. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Sir,

You have booked an appointment at the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary for 21 July 2025 at 13:00.

We kindly ask for your understanding, as the interpretation capacity for that time slot has been fully booked.

Please note that we have attempted to contact you several times at the phone number provided, but were unfortunately unable to reach you.

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

We would like to offer you a new appointment at one of the following available times:

4 August 2025 at 13:00

6 August 2025 at 10:00 or 13:00

Please let us know via email at panasz@ajbh.hu whether any of the proposed dates is suitable for you.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

Customer Service Department

3 / 4 Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary

From: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN

<siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu>

Date: Friday, July 11, 2025 at 3:08 PM

To: Egyenlő Bánásmód <egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu>

Subject: Re: Information

Dear Sir

As an international (non-Hungarian) student, I was denied equal access to educational resources—specifically, anatomy lab practicals and fair re-registration—despite holding a valid student status. This treatment differs from that of Hungarian students under comparable academic conditions. I believe this constitutes unequal treatment based on nationality under Section 8 of Act CXXV of 2003 (Ebkvt).

Sincerely yours

From: [REDACTED] egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu

Date: Friday, July 11, 2025 at 9:33 AM

To: Siddhartha HARSH WARDHAN

<siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu>

Subject: Information

Reference number: - EBF-AJBH-309-11 /2025.

Administrator: dr. Rigó Anett

Subject: Information

Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

siddhartha.harsh@stud.semmelweis.hu

Dear Mr Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan,

With reference to your e-mails of 27, 30 June and 1, 2, 3, 7, 9 July, 2025 sent to the email address of the Directorate-General For Equal Treatment of the Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights we inform you of the following.

The Commissioner for Fundamental Rights (hereinafter: Authority) investigates supposed violations of the principle of equal treatment (discrimination) as set forth in Act CXXV of 2003 on equal treatment

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

and the promotion of equal opportunities (hereinafter: Ebktv) through the Directorate-General for Equal Treatment.

According to Ebktv the principle of equal treatment is violated if, in a comparable situation, a person or group is treated less favourably on the ground of a protected characteristic listed in Section 8 of Ebktv (sex and related identity, race, colour, nationality, membership of a national minority, language, disability, state of health, religion or belief, political or other opinion, family status, motherhood (pregnancy) or fatherhood, sexual orientation, age, social origin, property, part-time or fixed-term nature of the occupational relationship or other employment-related relationship, membership in a representative organisation, other status, characteristic or attribute) than another person or group not having that protected characteristic. As per Section 19 of Ebktv **the complainant is required to demonstrate the likelihood that he/she has suffered a disadvantage and bore - in fact or as presumed by the respondent - a characteristic specified in Section 8 when he/she suffered the disadvantage.**

According to your letters you are a registered medical student at Semmelweis University. You already got signature in Macroanatomy and Embriology II on May 17, 2024, but in February 2025 wanted to sign for the courses Macroanatomy and Embriology II (lecture and practice) one more time to review for the exam (semifinal in May, 2025). As stated in the university's response: „if you want to visit classes, you will have to drop your signature and earn it again passing the midterms and being present with no absence beyond 25 %.” You believe that the reason you failed the anatomy exam was that, due to the conditions imposed by the university, you were unable to attend the practical classes again and therefore could not adequately prepare for the exam. Although the university did provide 6–8 consultation opportunities before the exam, you consider that these were not sufficient for proper exam preparation.

Please note that in the proceedings conducted by **the Directorate-General For Equal Treatment, the Authority only investigates discrimination complaints**, i. e. where the complainant claims to have been subjected to a disadvantage on the ground of a protected characteristic, compared to others who were in a comparable situation but do not have the protected characteristic. The complainant has to indicate and – insofar as it is possible – substantiate the protected characteristic and the disadvantage explaining how and compared to whom he/she has been subjected to less favourable treatment. Your letters do not contain these required elements of a discrimination complaint.

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Please also note that the Authority does not investigate general academic matters, including the definition of examination requirements and related university policies. Such matters fall under the academic and professional competence and autonomy of the university. In case of academic questions (for example exam evaluations and grading problems) please turn directly to the university or you may file a complaint with the Commissioner for Educational Rights (<https://www.oktbiztos.hu/index.html>).

If having taken into account the information above you believe to have been discriminated against by the university on the ground of a protected characteristic, you can lodge a formal complaint:

Electronically: following registration at <https://ugyfelkapu.gov.hu/?lang=en> using the application e-Papír (<https://epapir.gov.hu>),

By post: Alapvető Jogok Biztosának Hivatala Egyenlő Bánásmódért Felelős Főigazgatóság, 1387 Budapest, Pf. 40. or

In person: at the Authority's seat (1055 Budapest, Falk Miksa utca 9-11.) or at one of our regional offices (<https://www.ajbh.hu/en/teruleti-irodak>). For making an appointment, please contact the Client Service Office (+36 1 475 7129).

No other ways (e.g. by e-mail or using the interface "Lodge a complaint with/without identification" on the Authority's website) of submitting a discrimination complaint are accepted.

Please note that the official language of the proceedings is Hungarian. Regarding the use of language of foreigners, Act CL of 2016 on General Public Administration Procedures, Section 21 (2) provides that any client (complainant) who cannot speak

Archived Communication Evidence from June 28, 2025 – July 23, 2025, including initial complaint leading to ombudsman case (EBF - AJBH - 309 - 2025), discrimination addendum (July 14, 2025), and appointment confirmation with Hungarian human rights commissioner (August 6, 2025).

Hungarian may request the authority to assess his request in his native language or another intermediary language, in which his request is worded, provided that the client agrees to advance and cover the costs of translation and interpretation. Pursuant to Section 16 (3)-(4) of Ebtv costs of the proceedings are to be borne by the complainant only if the complaint is dismissed and the Authority establishes that the complainant acted in bad faith.

Finally, I would like to inform you that according to our filing system, you do not have ongoing cases registered under the case numbers referred to as „AJBH-2348/2025” and „AJB-3235/2025” in your letters.

Yours faithfully,

dr. Rigó Anett

Case Officer

Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights of Hungary
Directorate-General for Equal Treatment
Department for Equal Treatment

Location: Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights
(Budapest, Hungary)

Case ID: EBF-AJBH-309-2025

Court Case: 7. Kpk. 750.239/2025/2

Prepared by: Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Key Discussion Points

Document Delivery and Procedural Background

Confirmed delivery of supporting documentation before the meeting.

Case details were re-explained to Ombudsman officers.

Requested that the Ombudsman inquire with the university about missing retention data.

Noted that ethical standards require transparency, but explicitly stated **no pressure** was applied to any party.

International Government Correspondence

Acknowledged a reply from the **Irish Department of Further and Higher Education**, stating that while they cannot intervene, the issue was officially recognized.

This correspondence was included in the “**International Acknowledgment**” publication.

Personal Background and Legal Standing

Speaker is a dual citizen of the U.S. and Ireland; born in Ireland, raised in the United States.

Father is a **Hungarian citizen**, eligible to act as a translator if needed, but is not involved **in the case**.

Confirmed full legal standing as a whistleblower under Act XXV of 2023.

Contact Information

Declared preferred method of communication: **email**.

Provided:

Residence address (Belgrád rakpart)

Mailing address (Simmelweis EOK Library)

Active Hungarian mobile number with clarification on prefix
(+36 / 06 interchangeability)

Discrimination and Retention Inquiry Research-Based Inquiry into Unequal Treatment

Described independent research comparing **retention rates of Hungarian vs. non-Hungarian students** in medical education.

Challenged statements made by faculty members, such as “Hungarian students are more disciplined.”

Emphasized the need for **evidence-based justification** for differential outcomes.

Formal Legal Complaint and Access Denial

A confirmed court petition was submitted on **July 3, 2025**; it officially opened on **July 8**.

The complaint contests the denial of **lab access and the inconsistent enforcement** of academic policy.

Statements from the university (Appendix F) were described as vague and deflective.

Explained that Appendix C contains the evidence of access denial, and further documentation on **poor-quality anatomical specimens** was also collected.

Nature of Discrimination

Clarified that the basis of the complaint is **not race**, but **citizenship/national origin**, specifically **Hungarian vs. non-Hungarian** students.

Asserted that some FM/CV students were allowed access, while others were blocked without a clear policy.

Discussion of University Conduct

Statements by University Officials

Described official statements made by:

Dr. Andrea Székely (Head of Anatomy)

Prof. Dr. Alán Alpár (Vice-Rector for International Studies)

These statements referenced age and discipline as reasons for performance gaps.

Call for Retention Transparency

Requested release of **anonymized institutional data** on:

International student dropout rates

FM/CV outcome statistics

Year-over-year retention and attrition figures

Publication and Engagement

Ongoing Research Publications

Disclosed that over **26 faculty members** from Semmelweis have read the speaker's legal publications via **ResearchGate** and SSRN.

This shows the university is **fully aware** of the allegations and public documentation.

Legal Publishing Protections

Clarified that while emails from institutions like ECFMG or the Irish government are acknowledged, **some carry legal disclaimers**, and are cited only as part of "international awareness," not legal testimony.

Publications are preprints, timestamped, and properly anonymized.

Documentation signed and Initial from August 6, 2025, Meeting - Redacted, identify and anonymized GDPR Act of 2017

Redacted Summary of Official Minutes (August 6, 2025)

Office: Alapvető Jogok Biztosának Hivatala (Office of the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights)

Case Number: EBF-AJBH-309/2025

Location: Falk Miksa utca 9-11, 1055 Budapest

Date & Time: August 6, 2025, 13:00

Client: Harsh Wardhan Siddhartha

Citizenship: Irish (EU), U.S.

Interpreter Present: Yes

Case Type: Alleged discrimination and administrative obstruction by Semmelweis University

Institution Complained Against: Semmelweis University, 1085 Budapest, Üllői út 26.

Participant Details & Legal Basis

- Your full name, residence (Belgrád rakpart), citizenship, and date/place of birth were recorded.
- Interpreter: [REDACTED]
- Record keeper: [REDACTED]
- Legal grounding provided: **Act CL of 2016 on General Administrative Procedures (Ákr.)**, including:
 - Right to submit statements at any time.
 - Good faith participation is required from both sides.
 - Protection of personal and sensitive data (Act XXV of 2023 & GDPR).
 - Right to review documents generated during the process.

Rights, Obligations, and Officially Named Respondent

- The document confirms **Semmelweis University** as the party alleged to have caused harm.

Documentation signed and Initial from August 6, 2025, Meeting - Redacted, identify and anonymized GDPR Act of 2017

- You confirmed your **complaint involves discriminatory administrative conduct** and inconsistent enforcement of access policies.
- You were informed:
 - All evidence must be relevant and submitted in good faith.
 - Fabrication or omission may result in legal penalties.
 - The Ombudsman has the right to verify the accuracy of claims via official documents.
- The Ombudsman reserves the right to continue the investigation even without further input from you.

Formal Testimony

The researcher (author) indicated that international students tend to experience higher rates of failure or attrition and pointed out that faculty statements imply bias, such as "Hungarian students are more disciplined." You requested data from the university regarding student retention rates categorized by nationality or language program but did not receive a substantive response. Additionally, you cited Dr. Andrea Székely (Head of Anatomy) and Dr. Alán Alpár (Vice-Rector) as making generalized statements. You confirmed that a legal complaint was filed with the Budapest Administrative Court on July 3, 2025, concerning the denial of access to laboratory instruction. It was clarified that the claim does not involve racial discrimination but pertains to unequal treatment based on citizenship or national origin. You referenced Appendices C and F, which document obstruction and ambiguous institutional responses. Lastly, you have petitioned the Ombudsman to initiate a formal investigation under the Equal Treatment Act (Act CXXV of 2023).

**Documentation signed and Initial from August 6,
2025, Meeting - Redacted, identify and anonymized
GDPR Act of 2017**

Conclusion:


ALAPVETŐ JOGOK BIZTOSÁNAK HIVATALA
AZ ENSZ NEMZETI EMBERI JOGI INTÉZMÉNYE

Ügyiratszám: EBF-AJBH- 309 /2025. → August 6/2025
Ombudsman

JEGYZŐKÖNYV

Amely készült az Alapvető Jogok Biztosa Hivatalában (1055 Budapest, Falk Miksa utca 9-11. A 25 sz. helyiség) ügyfélfogadás keretében 2025 év 08 hónap 6 nap 13:00 órakor történt meghallgatásról, melynek során a személyesen megjelent ügyfél az alábbi kérelmet terjesztette elő.

Jelen vannak:

Ügyfél (Kérrelmező): Neve: Harsh Wardhan Siddhartha
(Születési neve): -
Lakcíme: 1056 Budapest, Belgrád Rakpart 2. fsz. 4a.
(Levelezési címe): ua.
Születési hely, idő: Mullingar (Írország), 1992.11.24.
Anyja neve: Singh Pushpa
Telefonszám (nem kötelező): 06703504077

Document
Signed
minutes

(A kérelmező azonosító adatainak szerint.)
Gálos Dalma, jegyzőkönyvvezető
Györgyi, fordító

Eljáró ügyintéző tájékoztatja az ügyfelet, hogy az általános közigazgatási rendtartásról szóló 2016. évi CL. törvény (Ákr.) szabályai alapján ügyfélként hallgatja meg.

Ákr. 5. § (1) Az ügyfél az eljárás során bármikor nyilatkozatot, észrevételt tehet.

Ákr. 6. § (1) Az eljárás valamennyi résztvevője köteles jóhiszeműen eljárni és a többi résztvevővel együttműködni.

(2) Senkinek a magatartása nem irányulhat a hatóság megfélemlítésére vagy a döntéshozatal, illetve a végrehajtás indokolatlan késleltetésére.

(3) Az ügyfél és az eljárás egyéb résztvevője jóhiszeműségét az eljárásban vélelmezni kell. A rosszhiszeműség bizonyítása a hatóságot terheli.

Ákr. 27. § (1) A hatóság az ügyfél és az eljárás egyéb résztvevője azonosításához szükséges természetes személyazonosító adatokat és az ügyfajtát szabályozó törvényben meghatározott személyes adatokat, továbbá - ha törvény másként nem rendelkezik - az eljárás eredményes lefolytatásához elengedhetetlenül szükséges más személyes adatokat kezeli.

(2) A hatóság gondoskodik arról, hogy a törvény által védett titok és törvény által védett egyéb adat (a továbbiakban együtt: védett adat) ne kerüljön nyilvánosságra, ne juthasson illetéktelen személy tudomására, és e védett adatok törvényben meghatározott védelme a hatóság eljárásában is biztosított legyen.

(3) A hatóság az eljárása során annak lefolytatásához - jogszabályban meghatározott módon és körben - kezeli azokat a védett adatokat, amelyek eljárásával összefüggnek, illetve amelyek kezelése az eljárás eredményes lefolytatása érdekében szükséges.

Ákr. 33. § (1) Az ügyfél az eljárás bármely szakaszában és annak befejezését követően is betekinthez az eljárás során keletkezett iratba.

Conclusion:

elmulasztott, igazolási kérelmet terjeszthet elő. 2

(3) Az igazolási kérelmet a mulasztásról való tudomásszerzést vagy az akadály megszűnését követően, de legkésőbb az elmulasztott határidő utolsó napjától számított, az igazolni kívánt eljárási cselekményre előírt határidővel megegyező időtartamon, de legfeljebb negyvenöt napon belül lehet előterjeszteni.

(4) A határidő elmulasztása esetén az igazolási kérelemmel egyidejűleg pótolni kell az elmulasztott cselekményt is, ha ennek feltételei kérelemmel egyidejűleg pótolni kell az határidejének vagy határnapjának elmulasztása miatt nincs helye igazolásnak.

(5) Az igazolási kérelem előterjesztése és a kérelem alapján megismételt eljárási cselekmény Ákr. 62. § (1) Ha a döntéshozatalhoz nem elegendőek a rendelkezésre álló adatok, a hatóság bizonyítási eljárást folytat le.

(2) A hatósági eljárásban minden olyan bizonyíték felhasználható, amely a tényállás tisztázására alkalmas. Nem használható fel bizonyítékként a hatóság által, jogszabálysértéssel megszerzett bizonyíték.

(3) A hatóság által hivatalosan ismert és a köztudomású tényeket nem kell bizonyítani.

(4) A hatóság szabadon választja meg a bizonyítás módját, és a rendelkezésre álló bizonyítékokat szabad meggyőződése szerint értékeli.

(5) Törvény vagy kormányrendelet közérdeken alapuló kényszerítő indok alapján, meghatározott ügyekben kötelezővé teheti valamely okirat vagy más irat bizonyítási eszközként történő alkalmazását.

Ákr. 63. § Ha a tényállás tisztázása azt szükségessé teszi, a hatóság az ügyfelet nyilatkozáttalra hívhatja fel.

Ákr. 64. § (1) Ha jogszabály nem zárja ki, az ügyfél a nyilatkozatával pótolhatja a hiányzó bizonyítékot, ha annak beszerzése nem lehetséges.

(2) Ha az ügyfél vagy képviselője más tudomása ellenére az ügy szempontjából jelentős adatot valótlanul állít vagy elhallgat - ide nem értve, ha vele szemben a 66. § (2) bekezdésében vagy (3) bekezdés b) és c) pontjában meghatározott ok áll fenn -, illetve ha a kötelező adatszolgáltatás körében a 105. § (2) bekezdésében foglalt ok hiányában adatszolgáltatási kötelezettségét nem teljesíti, eljárási bírsággal sújtható.

(3) A hatóság az (1) bekezdés szerinti esetben figyelmezteti az ügyfelet jogaira, kötelességeire és a hamis, hamisított vagy valótlan tartalmú bizonyíték szolgáltatásának jogkövetkezményeire.

Ákr. 77. § (1) Azt, aki a kötelezettségét önhibájából megszegi, a hatóság az okozott többletköltségek megtérítésére kötelezi, illetve eljárási bírsággal sújthatja.

(2) Az eljárási bírság legkisebb összege esetenként tízezer forint, legmagasabb összege - ha törvény másként nem rendelkezik - természetes személy esetén ötszázezer forint, jogi személy vagy egyéb szervezet esetén egymillió forint.

Ügyintéző tájékoztatja az ügyfelet, hogy az eljárás során bármikor nyilatkozatot, észrevételt tehet és figyelmezteti, hogy köteles jóhiszeműen eljárni, a többi résztvevővel együttműködni, a magatartása nem irányulhat a hatóság megfélemlítésére vagy a döntéshozatal, illetve a végrehajtás indokolatlan késleltetésére.

Kérelem:

A bepanaszolt (sérelmet okozó) szerv, személy neve címe:

Semmelweis Egyetem (1085 Budapest, Üllői út 26.)

1055 Bp., Falk Miksa u. 9-11. Levélcím: 1387 Bp., Pf. 40. Tel.: 06 1 475-7100; Fax: 06 1 269-1615;
E-mail: egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu; Webcím: www.ajbh.hu;

Conclusion:

A sérelmezett intézkedés, magatartás pontos előadása, a körülmények részletes ismertetése: 3

Előadom, hogy az EBF-AJBH-309/2025. számú ügyemhez szeretnék további dokumentumokat átadni és megsűrgetni annak vizsgálatát.

Véleményem szerint a külföldi hallgatók nagyobb arányban esnek ki az egyetemi képzésből, többször megbuknak. Dr. Székely Andrea Anatómiai Tanszék alrektorának feltettem azt a kérdést, hogy miért megy át több magyar a vizsgákon, erre azt a választ kaptam, hogy a magyarok fegyelmezettebbek a külföldiekénél.

A panaszom további részleteit a csatolt mellékletek tartalmazzák.

Véleménye szerint milyen okból (milyen védett tulajdonsága) miatt érte a sérelem, miből következett erre

Véleményem szerint az egyetem magyar/nem magyar származású személyeket különböző bánásmódban részesíti. A nem magyar származású diákok hátrányosabb helyzetben vannak.

Indított-e az ügyben bíróság vagy más hatóság előtt eljárást (ha igen, hol, mikor, milyen úgyszámon stb.):

2025. július 3. napján a Fővárosi Törvényszékhez fordultam a laboratórium használatának korlátozása miatt.

Közigazgatási hatósági eljárás lefolytatására irányuló kérelem:

Kérem, hogy a fenti ügyben az alapvető jogok biztosa az egyenlő bánásmódról és az esélyegyenlőség előmozdításáról szóló 2023. évi CXXV. törvény alapján közigazgatási hatósági eljárást folytasson le.


A kapcsolattartás ügyfél által kért módja:

Mást elmondani nem kívánok, nyilatkozatomat a jegyzőkönyv helyesen tartalmazza

A jegyzőkönyvet kapják: ügyfél, irattár

Budapest, 2025. augusztus 6.

(Redacted)





1055 Bp., Falk Miksa u. 9-11. Levélcím: 1387 Bp., Pf. 40. Tel.: 06 1 475-7100; Fax: 06 1 269-1615;
E-mail: egyenlobanasmod@ajbh.hu; Webcím: www.ajbh.hu;

Summary - Ongoing Whistleblower Legal Case Study:

Although not explicitly acting as a prosecutor, the Hungarian Commissioner for Fundamental Rights oversees civil matters of significant importance. As Hungary advances in its integration into

Conclusion:

the European Higher Education Area, investigations by the ombudsman increasingly serve as crucial evidence in reform efforts and legal cases. The archived correspondence between the researcher (student) and the **Hungarian Commissioner for Fundamental Rights** confirms communication from **June 28, 2025, to July 22, 2025**. The initial complaint was filed on **June 28, 2025**, regarding violations of **Hungarian educational laws**, including **Article 5**, which guarantees the right to access educational infrastructure and higher education institutions within Hungary. The communication on **June 28, 2025**, initiated **case ID: EBF-AJBH-309-2025**, confirming the complaint's validity and credibility, supported by factual evidence. The researcher registered as a **Whistleblower on July 5, 2025**, to protect against potential retaliation from the institution. On **July 14, 2025**, the researcher received correspondence from the **Hungarian Commissioner for Human Rights**, proposing measures to address discrimination, possibly based on testimonial evidence from **Appendix A-2**. On the same day, **July 14, 2025**, the researcher received a vague and dismissive letter from Vice **Rector Dr. Alan Alpar, who cited Article 57** and recommended that the issue be resolved internally through the student union. The statements in the letter in **Appendix F** of the **legal case** may be contested, as the researcher made four attempts to address the matter on **June 26, 2025, June 30, 2025 (twice on that day)**, and a final legal reminder on **July 5, 2025**. The letter, dated **July 14, 2025**, was responded to via email, explicitly referencing the four prior attempts made on **June 26, 2025, June 30, 2025, and July 5, 2025**. On **July 30, 2025**, the researcher (author-student) submitted evidence to the Hungarian administrative court to challenge the claims made by Vice Rector Dr. Alan Alpar. *Since July 14, 2025, the researcher has not received any responses from university officials regarding the allegations of Dr. Szekely's violations of Articles 5, 11, 13, 29, and 45 of the internal policy manual.*

Furthermore, among the *100 readers on the ResearchGate platform*, **twenty-six are professors from Semmelweis University** who have been reviewing the publications as they are uploaded with supplementary resources. From another (redacted-anonymized) researcher of law and politics on the researcher's thread, a discussion revealed that usually, silence from the institution is indicative of admission of guilt. According to another researcher in the question-and-answer debate, they don't want to incriminate themselves, which creates a legal liability for them.

Conclusion:

References:

1. Diamandouros, N. (2014). The European Ombudsman and Good Administration in the European Union. *European Public Law*, 20(2), 345–358.
2. Szente, Z. (2015). The Ombudsman and the Protection of Fundamental Rights in Hungary. In G. Kucsko-Stadlmayer (Ed.), *European Ombudsman-Institutions* (pp. 381–408). Springer.
3. Tóth, G. A. (2012). *Constitutional Law in Hungary*. Kluwer Law International.
4. Act CXI of 2011 on the Commissioner for Fundamental Rights.
5. Act XXV of 2023 on Complaints and Whistleblower Protection.
6. Act CL of 2016 on General Administrative Procedure.
7. Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education.
8. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (2012/C 326/02).
9. Semmelweis University. (2024). Study and Examination Regulations (SZMSZ_HKR).